

some months has been lying perfectly helpless  
and is thought by all around him to be dying  
I hope he is not so bad and may partially recover  
However that may be he has been making  
arrangements for his herbarium going at his  
death to Cambridge University (I mean cam-  
Cambridge) and I believe I shall see to the arrange-  
and gluing it and get certain portions per-  
myself and I may in that case want to purchase  
a considerable quantity of paper for ever and  
I think your mill will turn out to be best paper  
cost price freight & duty.

It is I believe now quite settled that Kuhn's  
herbarium goes to Oxford University who  
shall for it at the Botanic garden and lay aside  
an annual sum toward keeping it up.

I have been almost entirely occupied with  
Indian Leguminosae - I have worked up about  
100 species of Astragalus (including those) Oryzopsis  
and small allied genera. I hope Jot. Foster and  
J. Thomson are going to begin immediately their  
Flora India and if so I shall do the Leguminosae.  
I am also getting on with *Plorula longisquamis*  
as fast as I can get it printed but it is miserably  
slow only getting in 8 pages at a time and every-  
now and then "no room" even for that. In the  
mean time Hausskn. is making a mess in Walpers'  
Annalen - his new genus allied to *Deltiniana* is the  
old *Delphinium sarmentosa* and he has a new genus of  
*Campanulata* of which the essential character is to  
have no ovary at all! - Gred has published  
an "Epimelion Botanica" annotated as usual by two  
years and replete with blunders.

I sent a paper on Rostk's *Chrysogonum Rubraeum*  
to Copenhagen in April - and am about to do his  
*Compositae*.

With kindest regards to Mr Gray to  
whom Mr Peckham will write shortly  
believe me ever yours most sincerely  
George Peckham

Among Wrights - *Polemonium*

490 = *Lilia rigidula* Benth var *pubescens*

500 = — *incisa* D.

501 = — *longiflora* Don

496, 497 and 499 appear to be new

*Lilia*

June 2 [1853]

Before I forget it I must  
mention that the Amer. Eycl. Expedition  
plant from Sydney which we named  
*Scouma australis* is not the true  
plant figured in Bot. Mag. <sup>F. 2165</sup> but  
appears to be *Scouma jamaicensis* Sw.

Wright's 434 is certainly *Monochama*  
*pilovella* Wier and no *Monochama* as you  
suspect. - 436 must be a new genus.

I cannot rightly understand  
Nes's American genera and do not  
think them near so well done as the  
Indian portion - and I can now after  
looking at the plants again say no  
more than I did in town without  
reworking up Nes's *Jurassicoid* genera  
which would take up a long time.

Gray do not send the money  
for *Sporeana* etc. without hearing  
from you for I may very likely  
give you the commission to lay it out